28

Todd M. Friedman (216752) Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr. #415

Beverly Hills, CA 90211 Phone: 877 206-4741

tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

ORIGINAL FILED

FEB 2 4 2010

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT. NORTHERN PIRTRIET OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

790 DONNA BURTON. Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION** OF FEDERAL FAIR DEBT VS. **COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT.** ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT) COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, ALLIED DATA CORPORATION, AND INVASION OF PRIVACY Defendant.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ Code § 1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA"), both of which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices. Ancillary to the claims above, Plaintiff further alleges claims for

invasion of privacy arising from intrusion upon seclusion and public disclosure of private facts.

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, DONNA BURTON ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Mendocino county in the state of California, and is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code 1788.2(h).
- 4. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, ALLIED DATA CORPORATION("Defendant") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "debt," as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5), and a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(f). Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(c).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

5. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.

Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and the RFDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:

- a) Causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, calling Plaintiff more than twice a day and more than ten times a week (Cal Civ Code §1788.11(d));
- b) Communicating, by telephone or in person, with Plaintiff with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute an harassment to Plaintiff under the circumstances, including, but not limited to, calling Plaintiff more than twice a day and more than ten times a week (Cal Civ Code §1788.11(e));
- c) Failing to provide Plaintiff with the notices required by 15 USC § 1692g, either in the initial communication with Plaintiff, or in writing within 5 days thereof (§1692g(a));
- d) Causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, calling Plaintiff more than twice a day and more than ten times a week (§1692d(5));
- e) Failing to disclose the caller's individual identity in a telephone call to Plaintiff (§1692d(6));
- f) Failing to disclose Defendant's true corporate or business name in a telephone call to Plaintiff (§1692d(6));
- g) Threatening to take an action against Plaintiff that cannot be legally taken or that was not actually intended to be taken (§1692e(5));

- h) Falsely representing that a legal proceeding has been, is about to be, or will be instituted unless payment of a consumer debt is made (Cal Civ Code §1788.13(j)); and
- i) Placing (a) telephone call(s) to Plaintiff without disclosure of the caller's true identity or a registered alias name along with the name of the agency authorizing the call (Cal Civ Code §1788.11(b)).
- 6. Defendant's aforementioned violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA also constitute an intentional intrusion into Plaintiff's private places and into private matters of Plaintiff's life, conducted in a manner highly offensive to a reasonable person. Plaintiff had a subjective expectation of privacy that was objectively reasonable under the circumstances.
- 7. Defendant's aforementioned disclosure of facts regarding Plaintiff's debt to third parties constitutes a public disclosure of a private fact not of legitimate public concern. Defendant's disclosures were highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 8. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, RFDCPA and invasion of privacy, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

12

10

16

28

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

9. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA:
- B. Actual damages:
- C. Statutory damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 10. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.
- 11. To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the

RFDCPA, those actions were done knowingly and willfully

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the RFDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;

- C. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT III: COMMON LAW INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION AND INVASION OF PRIVACY BY PUBLICATION OF PRIVATE FACTS

12. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Actual damages
- B. Punitive Damages; and,
- C. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

Respectfully submitted this 22nd day of February, 2010.

By:

TODD M. FRIEDMAN (216752) LAW OFFICES OF TODD M.

FRIEDMAN, P.C.

369 S. Doheny Dr. #415

Beverly Hills, CA 90211

Phone: 877 206-4741

Fax: 866 633-0228

tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com

Attorney for Plaintiff